

U. S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF IDAHO  
Filed at 3:00 P. M

JUN 22 1992

CLERK U. S. DISTRICT COURT  
By Wang Deputy

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. CV 91-0418-S-EJL
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
PITNEY BOWES, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

Plaintiff filed a complaint in this matter on September 25, 1991. On January 27, 1992, plaintiff moved the court for a 120 day extension in which to serve the summons and complaint on defendant. The court granted the plaintiff an extension until March 27, 1992. On March 25, 1992, plaintiff again moved the Court for an extension of time to serve the summons and complaint. On March 26, 1992, the court ordered that plaintiff had until May 24, 1992, to serve the defendant. As of the date of this order, plaintiff has not served a copy of the summons and complaint on defendant. The court finds that good cause has not been shown as to why plaintiff has failed to effect service upon defendant. Therefore, in accordance with Rule 4(j),


ORDER OF DISMISSAL - Page 1

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this court shall dismiss this action.

Based on the foregoing, and the court being fully advised in the premises,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint in this matter should be, and is hereby, DISMISSED without prejudice.

Dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June, 1992.

  
EDWARD J. LODGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of the above and foregoing ORDER OF DISMISSAL were forwarded to the following-named persons on this 22nd day of June, 1992:

Paul S. Street  
Moffatt, Thomas, Barrett, Rock & Fields  
Attorneys at Law  
P.O. Box 829  
Boise, Idaho 83701

Jonathan A. Marshall  
Pennie & Edmonds  
Attorneys at Law  
1155 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036

Robert C. Mayes  
Hewlett-Packard Company  
11413 Chinden Boulevard  
Boise, Idaho 83714

CAMERON S. BURKE, CLERK

By: Carol Vaughn

Deputy Clerk

Case No. CV 91-0418-S-EJL  
Hewlett-Packard v Pitney